

# View Response

## Response Details

From [Thame Town Council](#)

Date Started: 10 Jan 2019 15:21. Last modified: 10 Jan 2019 15:21

Status Complete

Response ID #679463

To which part of the document does your comment relate?

You must provide an answer to this question.

Wherever a stakeholder is referred to.

Do you have any comments about the proposed approach to engagement set out in the Statement of Community Involvement?

There is a risk that in not defining the term "stakeholder" organisations could challenge the JSSP late in the process by claiming they should have been treated as a stakeholder group. The document currently refers to stakeholders in differing ways; on Page 2, for example, the section's title suggests stakeholders refers to a wide range of bodies. On the same page though reference is also made to consulting with "specific" stakeholders, and on Page 3, "targeted" stakeholders. This does appear at best vague, and possibly even as an attempt to carefully control which groups are permitted to discuss particular matters.

Do you have any suggestions as to how the proposed approach to engagement could be improved?

Give a clear definition of what a stakeholder is considered to be for the purpose of consulting on the JSSP. Preferably, give examples of local groups who might be considered as stakeholders and examples of when/where they will be consulted.

I would like to be kept updated on the Oxfordshire Plan

You must provide an answer to this question.

Yes

No

# View Response

## Response Details

From [Thame Town Council](#)

Date Started: 10 Jan 2019 15:28. Last modified: 10 Jan 2019 15:28

Status Complete

Response ID #679468

To which part of the document does your comment relate?

You must provide an answer to this question.

Paragraph 7

Do you have any comments about the proposed approach to engagement set out in the Statement of Community Involvement?

This paragraph states that you only mean to inform, involve and consult "stakeholders" on the production of the JSSP, and control when they will be engaged in the process. Paragraphs 6 and 8, however, suggests you do intend to more widely involve communities in the preparation of the JSS.

Do you have any suggestions as to how the proposed approach to engagement could be improved?

It is recommended you change paragraph 7 to "This SCI sets out how the Oxfordshire authorities tend to inform, involve and consult the community and stakeholders on the preparation..." in order to avoid public concern that you are overly restricting your consultations.

I would like to be kept updated on the Oxfordshire Plan

You must provide an answer to this question.



Yes



No

# View Response

## Response Details

From [Thame Town Council](#)

Date Started: 10 Jan 2019 15:37. Last modified: 10 Jan 2019 15:37

Status Complete

Response ID #679471

To which part of the document does your comment relate?

You must provide an answer to this question.

Paragraph 12 - Diagram "Relationship between..."

Do you have any comments about the proposed approach to engagement set out in the Statement of Community Involvement?

The diagram labelled "Relationship between JSSP and Other Plans". Neighbourhood plans have the right to allocate housing or employment growth, community infrastructure, etc. These allocations are frequently later confirmed within subsequent Local Plans (for example, as seen within the Draft South Oxfordshire Local Plan). The relationship arrow between local plans and neighbourhood plans should not just point one way.

Do you have any suggestions as to how the proposed approach to engagement could be improved?

Fort the diagram "Relationship between JSSP and Other Plans", change the arrow between local and neighbourhood plans to indicate a two-way flow of allocations and policy.

I would like to be kept updated on the Oxfordshire Plan

You must provide an answer to this question.



Yes



No

# View Response

## Response Details

From [Thame Town Council](#)

Date Started: 10 Jan 2019 15:56. Last modified: 10 Jan 2019 15:57

Status Complete

Response ID #679476

To which part of the document does your comment relate?

You must provide an answer to this question.

Paragraph 19.

Do you have any comments about the proposed approach to engagement set out in the Statement of Community Involvement?

With regards to the JSSP database, experience has repeatedly shown that cross-border joint planning consultations are particularly susceptible to comments being submitted under false or partial names and addresses. It is harder for joint planning authorities to undertake simple checks where suspicions arise as, for example, each authority only holds address and resident records for its own administrative areas. The consultation responses will be subsequently logged by a team of officers with at best access to only one local authority's core databases. Joining up corporate address gazetteers and electoral registers has proved technically and legally complex.

Do you have any suggestions as to how the proposed approach to engagement could be improved?

Declare within the SCI that only comments made with a full name and address will be accepted in order to prevent future suspicion that consultations have been in some way compromised. This has been shown to almost eliminate false or faked responses.

I would like to be kept updated on the Oxfordshire Plan

You must provide an answer to this question.

Yes

No



# View Response

## Response Details

From [Thame Town Council](#)

Date Started: 10 Jan 2019 16:04. Last modified: 10 Jan 2019 16:04

Status Complete

Response ID #679478

To which part of the document does your comment relate?

You must provide an answer to this question.

Paragraph 23, Table 1 "Consultation stages..."

Do you have any comments about the proposed approach to engagement set out in the Statement of Community Involvement?

Table 1, "Consultation stages in JSSP preparation process".

You state in Paragraph 16 "that all people should have their opportunity to have their say in how the county is spatially planned irrespective of their differences". Yet within this table you only indicate engagement with the general public during the call for strategic development options (the call for sites). Consultation throughout the later stages will be controlled via the examination process, as you have correctly identified.

Do you have any suggestions as to how the proposed approach to engagement could be improved?

Thame Town Council recommend changing "who will be involved" at SA scoping report, regulation 18 and 19 stages to "Consult specific and general consultees, and any member of the public or any interested body".

I would like to be kept updated on the Oxfordshire Plan

You must provide an answer to this question.

Yes

No