

**Southern Road Recreation Ground
Response Tracker
Pre-Con Prepped: 12/04/2017
Pre-Con Issued: 09/05/2017**

Reference	Date Rec'd	Format	Name	Comments	Action
1	09/05/2017	Email		Supports	
2	09/05/2017	Email	(River Thame Conservation Trust)	Cuttlebrook Nature Reserve down the hill, concerned about effect on wildlife and construction run off	
3	10/05/2017	Email		Why is EE not included	Confirmed mast is CTIL.
4	10/05/2017	Email	(Cuttlebrook Volunteers)	Will discuss at next group meeting Email 18/05 - concerned about the lighting and visual impact. Lighting should be fully directed downwards and only the minimum require should be installed. Mast should be limited to the height of the trees	
5	10/05/2017	Email		Objects - Mast isn't necessary, shouldn't be sited in recreation ground, visual impact	mast to fill coverage gap.
6	11/05/2017	Email		Doesn't object to a new mast, objects to the location. Should be located within the tree line to allow the bulk of the mast to be screened	Comments noted, location is constrained by requirement for power, fibre etc.
7	12/05/2017	Email		Supports	
8	12/05/2017	Email		Objects - visual impact, health concerns - impact on girl guides; scouts; schools etc	Sent health info. Confirmed schools, GP, guides, scouts and football clubs all had letters sent - no responses received
9	13/05/2017	Email		Objects - visual impact, not appropriate for recreation ground, should be sited in industrial estate	Discounted options will be in planning statement
10	15/05/2017	Email		What other sites are proposed, lights may negatively impact wildlife, what is the expected FR field strength	Discounted options will be in planning statement; lights will be determined by Council; emissions will be within limits
11	15/05/2017	Email		Supports	
12	17/05/2017	Email		Objects - visual impact, inappropriate location, health concerns - site is used by various groups such as guides etc	Sent health info. Confirmed schools, GP, guides, scouts and football clubs all had letters sent - no responses received
13	18/05/2017	Email		Supports	
14	21/05/2017	Email		Objects - visual impact, too close to community facilities, will attract youths and vandalism, health concerns	Sent health info. Confirmed schools, GP, guides, scouts and football clubs all had letters sent - no responses received
15	22/05/2017	Email		Objects - foster carer, concerned that health impact would prevent her from fostering. Visual impact, lease is not enough	Sent health info. Confirmed schools, GP, guides, scouts and football clubs all had letters sent - no responses received
16	23/05/2017	Email		Objects - Has a pacemaker, concerned about interference	Sent health info relating to pacemakers
17	24/05/2017	Email		Objects -health concerns; visual impact; lightspill; should find alternative location	Sent health info. Confirmed schools, GP, guides, scouts and football clubs all had letters sent - no responses received. Lightspill will comply with reas
18	25/05/2017	Email		Objects - visual impact; shouldn't be sited in recreation ground; should be put in industrial area; financial incentive not enough; groups using recreation park should pay for car park lighting	Confirmed discounted options in planning statement
19	25/05/2017	Letter		Objects - inappropriate location; health concerns; visual impact	
20	26/05/2017	Email		Supports	
21	28/05/2017	Email		Objects - visual impact, health concerns, should be sited in an industrial area	Sent health info, discounted options in planning statement
22	29/05/2017	Email		Objects - shouldn't be sited in recreation ground, visual impact	Confirmed discounted options in planning statement
23	30/05/2017	Letter		Objects - inappropriate to place in recreation ground, no fencing so may be hit by footballs	
24	30/05/2017	Email		Objects - visual impact; environmental impact; health concerns; could set a precedence	Sent health info, Conservation organisation incl in consultation
25	31/05/2017	Email		Objects - violation of recreation ground	acknowledged
26	31/05/2017	Email		Objects - too big, visual impact; poor choice of location; teenagers may attempt to climb it; impact on wildlife; property value	Discounted options in planning statement; public won't be able to climb
27	01/06/2017	Email		Objects - already sufficient mobile coverage; why is a new mast needed; what is the justification; what are the health risks	Closest mast not suitable for upgrade, coverage plots will be included in application, sent health info
28	01/06/2017	Email		Objects - shouldn't be sited in recreation ground, visual impact (sent via Town Council)	
29	01/06/2017	Email		Supports	
30	02/06/2017	Email		Objects - visual impact, must be better locations	Discounted options will be in planning statement
31	02/06/2017	Email		Objects - visual impact, teenagers will climb it	Confirmed security measures will prevent people from climbing pole